arXiv:1412.8640v3 [cond-mat.supr-con] 24 Apr 2015

Non-BCS thermodynamic properties of H_2S superconductor

Artur P. Durajski[(1)],* Radosław Szczęśniak[(1,2)],† and Yinwei Li[(3)]‡
1. Institute of Physics, Częstochowa University of Technology, Ave. Armii Krajowej 19, 42-200 Częstochowa, Poland
2. Institute of Physics, Jan Długosz University, Ave. Armii Krajowej 13/15, 42-200 Częstochowa, Poland and
3. School of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, People's Republic of China
(Dated: April 27, 2015)

The present paper determines the thermodynamic properties of the superconducting state in the H_2S compound. The values of the pressure from 130 GPa to 180 GPa were taken into consideration. The calculations were performed in the framework of the Eliashberg formalism. In the first step, the experimental course of the dependence of the critical temperature on the pressure was reproduced: $T_C \in \langle 31, 88\rangle$ K, whereas the Coulomb pseudopotential equal to 0.15 was adopted. Next, the following quantities were calculated: the order parameter at the temperature of zero Kelvin ($\Delta(0)$), the specific heat jump at the critical temperature ($\Delta C(T_C) \equiv C^S(T_C) - C^N(T_C)$), and the thermodynamic critical field ($H_C(0)$). It was found that the values of the dimensionless ratios: $R_\Delta \equiv 2\Delta(0)/k_B T_C$, $R_C \equiv \Delta C(T_C)/C^N(T_C)$, and $R_H \equiv T_C C^N(T_C)/H_C^2(0)$ deviate from the predictions of the BCS theory: $R_\Delta \in \langle 3.64, 4.16\rangle$, $R_C \in \langle 1.59, 2.24\rangle$, and $R_H \in \langle 0.144, 0.163\rangle$. Generalizing the results on the whole family of the H_nS-type compounds, it was shown that the maximum value of the critical temperature can be equal to ~ 290 K, while R_Δ, R_C and R_H adopt the following values: 6.53, 3.99, and 0.093, respectively.

Keywords: Superconductors; Hydrogen sulfide; Thermodynamic properties.

PACS numbers: 74.20.Fg, 74.25.Bt, 74.62.Fj

The experimental results, which prove that the compound H_2S under the influence of the high pressure (p) has the extremely high values of the critical temperature (T_C), were presented in December, 2014 [1]. In particular, it was shown that in the range of the pressures from 115 GPa to 200 GPa, the critical temperature increases from 31 K to 150 K. Additionally, we should underline the fact that the strong isotope effect (D_2S) was observed, which clearly suggests the electron-phonon origin of the superconducting state [2–7]. Interestingly, as the result of the dissociation of the starting compound, most likely of the scheme: $3H_2S \rightarrow 2H_3S + S$ [8], [9], the superconducting state with the critical temperature of up to ~ 190 K ($p > 150$ GPa) was induced [1]. From the physical point of view, the obtained result indicates that the superconductor of the highest known value of T_C was just discovered.

Even before the release of the experimental results, the extensive theoretical studies of the superconducting condensate in the H_2S compound were performed in the paper [10]. In the framework of the *ab initio* calculations, it was found that the analyzed system enters the metallic state above the pressure of 96 GPa. Next, the existence of the superconducting state was proven in the pressures range from 130 GPa to 180 GPa, wherein the highest value of T_C equal to ~ 80 K was obtained for $p = 160$ GPa (the Cmca structure). It should be noted that the predictions included in the publication [10] agree with the experimental results [1]. However, there was no structural transition observed between the phases P-1 and Cmca.

The results presented in the paper [1] prove that depending on the method to handle the expected final values of the temperature and the pressure one can prepare the compound H_2S or the system $H_3S + S$. Note that the above results are in the agreement with the theoretical predictions that suggest the stability of the H_2S system below 50 GPa [9]. On the other hand, the compound H_3S seems to be stable for $p \in \langle 50, 300\rangle$ GPa, wherein H_4S, H_5S, and H_6S are unstable in the considered range of the pressures.

The superconductor H_2S can be further enriched with hydrogen [11]. The case $(H_2S)_2H_2$ was very carefully analyzed in the work [8]. On the basis of the *ab initio* calculations, it was shown that the metallization of this system takes place for 111 GPa, while for $p = 200$ GPa the record value of T_C equal to ~ 200 K was obtained (the Im-$3m$ structure). Note that the similarly high values of the critical temperature can be obtained in the hydrogen-rich compounds of the type: CaH_6 ($T_C \sim 240$ K for $p = 150$ GPa) [12], [13], Si_2H_6 ($T_C \sim 174$ K for $p = 275$ GPa) [14], [15], [16], B_2H_6 ($T_C \sim 147$ K for $p = 360$ GPa) [17], [18], and $SiH_4(H_2)_2$ ($T_C \sim 107$ K for $p = 250$ GPa) [19].

Historically, the physical properties of the H_2S compound have been studied for many years. On the molec-

*Electronic address: adurajski@wip.pcz.pl
†Electronic address: szczesni@wip.pcz.pl
‡Electronic address: yinwei˙li@jsnu.edu.cn

ular level H_2S is formally the analogue of H_2O. However, in the solid phase its properties are significantly different due to the fact that hydrogen sulfide is very weak hydrogen-bonded [20]. It should be noted that H_2S has the complicated pressure-temperature phase diagram. In the area: $p \in \langle 0, 50 \rangle$ GPa and $T \in \langle 0, 300 \rangle$ K, as many as seven crystal structures are distinct [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26]. On the other hand, the recently published theoretical results call into question the original findings on the number and the type of the existing crystal structures [10]. It is also worth noting that to the present date there is no final consensus on the pressure at which the molecular dissociation H_2S occurs at the room temperature. In literature one can find the two characteristic values of the pressure: 27 GPa and 47 GPa [27], [28], [29].

The present paper determines the thermodynamic parameters of the superconducting state in the H_2S compound in the range of the pressures from 130 GPa to 180 GPa. Then, the study generalizes the results on the entire family of the compounds of the H_nS-type (also additionally hydrogenated). The numerical calculations were performed in the framework of the Eliashberg formalism due to the significant strong-coupling and retardation effects.

The Eliashberg equations for the order parameter function $\phi(\omega)$ and for the wave function renormalization factor $Z(\omega)$ take the form [30]:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\omega) &= \frac{\pi}{\beta}\sum_{m=-M}^{M}\frac{\left[\lambda(\omega - i\omega_m) - \mu^{\star}(\omega_m)\right]}{\sqrt{\omega_m^2 Z_m^2 + \phi_m^2}}\phi_m \\ &+ i\pi\int_0^{+\infty} d\omega^{'}\alpha^2F\left(\omega^{'}\right)\Big[\Big[N\left(\omega^{'}\right) + f\left(\omega^{'} - \omega\right)\Big] \\ &\times K\left(\omega, -\omega^{'}\right)\phi\left(\omega - \omega^{'}\right)\Big] \\ &+ i\pi\int_0^{+\infty} d\omega^{'}\alpha^2F\left(\omega^{'}\right)\Big[\Big[N\left(\omega^{'}\right) + f\left(\omega^{'} + \omega\right)\Big] \\ &\times K\left(\omega, \omega^{'}\right)\phi\left(\omega + \omega^{'}\right)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\omega) &= 1 + \frac{i\pi}{\omega\beta}\sum_{m=-M}^{M}\frac{\lambda(\omega - i\omega_m)\,\omega_m}{\sqrt{\omega_m^2 Z_m^2 + \phi_m^2}}Z_m \\ &+ \frac{i\pi}{\omega}\int_0^{+\infty} d\omega^{'}\alpha^2F\left(\omega^{'}\right)\Big[\Big[N\left(\omega^{'}\right) + f\left(\omega^{'} - \omega\right)\Big] \\ &\times K\left(\omega, -\omega^{'}\right)\left(\omega - \omega^{'}\right)Z\left(\omega - \omega^{'}\right)\Big] \\ &+ \frac{i\pi}{\omega}\int_0^{+\infty} d\omega^{'}\alpha^2F\left(\omega^{'}\right)\Big[\Big[N\left(\omega^{'}\right) + f\left(\omega^{'} + \omega\right)\Big] \\ &\times K\left(\omega, \omega^{'}\right)\left(\omega + \omega^{'}\right)Z\left(\omega + \omega^{'}\right)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where:

$$K\left(\omega, \omega^{'}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\omega + \omega^{'}\right)^2 Z^2\left(\omega + \omega^{'}\right) - \phi^2\left(\omega + \omega^{'}\right)}}. \tag{3}$$

The order parameter is defined as: $\Delta(\omega) \equiv \phi(\omega)/Z(\omega)$.

The imaginary axis functions ($\phi_n \equiv \phi(i\omega_n)$ and $Z_n \equiv Z(i\omega_n)$) should be calculated from [31]:

$$\phi_n = \frac{\pi}{\beta}\sum_{m=-M}^{M}\frac{\lambda(i\omega_n - i\omega_m) - \mu^{\star}(\omega_m)}{\sqrt{\omega_m^2 Z_m^2 + \phi_m^2}}\phi_m, \tag{4}$$

and

$$Z_n = 1 + \frac{1}{\omega_n}\frac{\pi}{\beta}\sum_{m=-M}^{M}\frac{\lambda(i\omega_n - i\omega_m)}{\sqrt{\omega_m^2 Z_m^2 + \phi_m^2}}\omega_m Z_m, \tag{5}$$

where: $\omega_n \equiv (\pi/\beta)(2n-1)$ is the Matsubara frequency and $\beta \equiv (k_B T)^{-1}$. The symbol k_B represents the Boltzmann constant. The pairing kernel is given by:

$$\lambda(z) \equiv 2\int_0^{\Omega_{\max}} d\Omega\frac{\Omega}{\Omega^2 - z^2}\alpha^2F(\Omega). \tag{6}$$

The Eliashberg function ($\alpha^2F(\Omega)$) models the structure of the electron-phonon interaction and $\Omega_{\max}$ is the maximum phonon frequency. For the superconductor H_2S, in the range of the pressure from 130 GPa to 180 GPa, the Eliashberg functions were calculated in the paper [10]. The maximum phonon frequency is in the order of 220 meV. The function $\mu^{\star}(\omega_n) \equiv \mu^{\star}\theta(\omega_c - |\omega_n|)$ describes the depairing Coulomb interaction, where $\mu^{\star}$ represents the Coulomb pseudopotential [32]. The symbol θ is the Heaviside unit function and ω_c denotes the cut-off energy ($\omega_c = 3\Omega_{\max}$). The Bose function and the Fermi function is given by the symbol $N(\omega)$ and $f(\omega)$, respectively.

The Eliashberg equations have been solved for $M = 1100$. The functions $\phi(\omega)$ and $Z(\omega)$ are stable for $T \geq T_0 \equiv 5$ K. Note that the above was based on the numerical methods used in the publications: [13], [33], [34], [35].

Fig. 1 (A)-(B) shows the plots of the exemplary dependence of the order parameter and the wave function renormalization factor on the temperature ($p = 160$ GPa). The wide range of the values of the Coulomb pseudopotential was taken into account. It turns out that the resulting curves can be reproduced with the very good approximation by using the functions: $\Delta(T, \mu^{\star}) = \Delta(\mu^{\star})\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_C}\right)^{\Gamma}}$ and $Z(T, \mu^{\star}) = Z(\mu^{\star}) + \left[Z(T_C) - Z(\mu^{\star})\right]\left(\frac{T}{T_C}\right)^{\Gamma}$, where: $\Delta(\mu^{\star}) = 118.79\left(\mu^{\star}\right)^2 - 101.78\mu^{\star} + 28.60$, $Z(\mu^{\star}) = -0.792\left(\mu^{\star}\right)^2 + 0.654\mu^{\star} + 2.112$, $Z(T_C) \simeq 2.28 = 1 + \lambda$, and $\Gamma = 3.6$ (the electron-phonon coupling constant (λ) was defined in Tab. I).

In the first case, it is clear that the high values of the order parameter correspond to a high values of the critical temperature (Fig. 1 (A)). It should be noted that the full shape of the function $\Delta(T, \mu^{\star})$ cannot be properly identified within the framework of the classical BCS theory, as: $[\Gamma]_{\mathrm{BCS}} = 3$ [36].



FIG. 1: The dependence of (A) the order parameter and (B) the wave function renormalization factor on the temperature for the selected values of the Coulomb pseudopotential. The figures (C)-(D) present the overt form of the functions $\Delta(\omega)$ and $Z(\omega)$ for the selected temperatures. The shaded area is the rescaled Eliashberg function.

On the other hand, the wave function renormalization factor determines the value of the electron effective mass: $m_e^\star = Z(T)\, m_e$, where m_e denotes the electron band mass. The results plotted in Fig. 1 (B) prove that the effective mass of the electrons is large in the superconducting state. From the physical point of view the obtained result comes from the existence of the significant strong-coupling effects in the H_2S compound, which are characterized by the electron-phonon coupling constant. Of course, these effects cannot be ignored in the quantitative analysis. Let us notice that the BCS model predicts: $m_e^\star = m_e$.

The curves in Fig. 1 (A) and (B) were obtained on the basis of the following expressions: $\Delta(T) = \mathrm{Re}\left[\Delta\left(\omega = \Delta(T), T\right)\right]$ and $Z(T) = \mathrm{Re}\left[Z\left(\omega = 0, T\right)\right]$, while the example solutions of the Eliashberg equations are presented in Fig. 1 (C) and (D). It can be seen that the order parameter has the zero imaginary part at the low frequencies, due to the absence of the damping effects [37]. At the higher frequencies both $\Delta(\omega)$ and $Z(\omega)$ are characterized by the very complicated shape clearly correlated with the shape of the Eliashberg function.

Fig. 2 (A) presents the influence of the Coulomb pseudopotential on the value of the critical temperature in the H_2S compound. It can be seen that in the case of the weak electron depairing correlations ($\mu^\star \sim 0.1$), T_C can reach the high value of the order of 100 K.

The numerical results obtained with the help of the Eliashberg equations can be reproduced with the very good accuracy using the modified Allen-Dynes formula:

$$k_B T_C = f_1 f_2 \frac{\omega_{\ln}}{1.27} \exp\left[\frac{-1.14\,(1+\lambda)}{\lambda - (1+0.163\lambda)\mu^\star}\right], \qquad (7)$$



FIG. 2: The critical temperature as a function of (A) the Coulomb pseudopotential for $p = 160$ GPa and (B) the pressure for $\mu^\star = 0.15$. The experimental results are taken from [1].



FIG. 3: The critical temperature as a function of the coupling constants λ_0^{S} and λ_0^{H} for the superconductors of the H_nS-type.

whereas the symbols appearing in Eq. (7) were defined in Tab. I. In contrast, the numerical parameters were selected using the method of the least squares on the basis of 300 numerical values of the function $T_C(\mu^\star)$.

Additionally, Fig. 2 (A) shows the plot of the values of the critical temperature obtained with the help of the classical Allen-Dynes and McMillan formulas [38], [39]. It was found that the classical formulas significantly understate T_C for the higher values of the Coulomb pseudopotential.

Fig. 2 (B) presents the experimental dependence of the critical temperature on the pressure for the compound H_2S [1]. Note that the obtained results can be reproduced using the Eliashberg equations or Eq. (7) adopting: $\mu^\star = 0.15$. In particular, for the pressure values from 130 GPa to 180 GPa, it was obtained: $T_C \in \langle 31, 88 \rangle$ K.

Let us notice that Eq. (7) allows to discuss possible to achieve values of the critical temperature for the whole family of the compounds of the H_nS-type. In the first step it should be noted that contributions to the Eliashberg function derived from sulfur and hydrogen (both for H_2S and $(H_2S)_2H_2$) are very clearly separated [8], [10]. In particular, in the frequency range from 0 to ~ 70 meV the crucial is the electron-phonon interaction derived from sulfur, while above ~ 100 meV significant is

TABLE I: The quantities λ, $\omega_{\ln}$, and ω_2 represent the electron-phonon coupling constant, the logarithmic phonon frequency, and the second moment of the normalized weight function. The parameters f_1 and f_2 are the strong-coupling correction function and the shape correction function, respectively [38].

Quantity	Value ($p = 160$ GPa)
$\lambda \equiv 2\int_0^{+\infty} d\Omega \frac{\alpha^2(\Omega)F(\Omega)}{\Omega}$	1.28
$\omega_{\ln} \equiv \exp\left[\frac{2}{\lambda}\int_0^{+\infty} d\Omega \frac{\alpha^2 F(\Omega)}{\Omega}\ln(\Omega)\right]$	82.70 meV
$\sqrt{\omega_2} \equiv \left[\frac{2}{\lambda}\int_0^{+\infty} d\Omega \alpha^2 F(\Omega)\,\Omega\right]^{1/2}$	115.05 meV
$f_1 \equiv \left[1+\left(\frac{\lambda}{\Lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$	-
$f_2 \equiv 1+\frac{\left(\frac{\sqrt{\omega_2}}{\omega_{\ln}}-1\right)\lambda^2}{\lambda^2+\Lambda_2^2}$	-
$\Lambda_1 \equiv 2.4 - 0.14\mu^\star$	-
$\Lambda_2 \equiv (0.1+9\mu^\star)(\sqrt{\omega_2}/\omega_{\ln})$	-

the contribution derived from hydrogen. Based on the above fact, the model Eliashberg function was factorized as follows:

$$\alpha^2F(\Omega) = \lambda_0^{\mathrm{S}}\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{S}}}\right)^2\theta\left(\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{S}}-\Omega\right) + \lambda_0^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{H}}}\right)^2\theta\left(\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{H}}-\Omega\right), \quad (8)$$

where λ_0^{S} and λ_0^{H} are the contributions to the electron-phonon coupling constant derived respectively from sulfur and hydrogen. On the other hand, the symbols $\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{H}}$ represent the maximum phonon frequencies.

Using the equations included in Tab. I, it can be shown that:

$$\lambda = \lambda_0^{\mathrm{S}} + \lambda_0^{\mathrm{H}}, \quad (9)$$

$$\omega_{\ln} = \exp\left[\frac{\lambda_0^{\mathrm{S}}}{\lambda_0^{\mathrm{S}}+\lambda_0^{\mathrm{H}}}\left(\ln\left(\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{S}}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \times \exp\left[\frac{\lambda_0^{\mathrm{H}}}{\lambda_0^{\mathrm{S}}+\lambda_0^{\mathrm{H}}}\left(\ln\left(\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right], \quad (10)$$

and

$$\omega_2 = \frac{\lambda_0^{\mathrm{S}}}{\lambda_0^{\mathrm{S}}+\lambda_0^{\mathrm{H}}}\frac{\left(\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{S}}\right)^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda_0^{\mathrm{H}}}{\lambda_0^{\mathrm{S}}+\lambda_0^{\mathrm{H}}}\frac{\left(\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)^2}{2}. \quad (11)$$

Fig. 3 presents the dependence of the critical temperature on λ_0^{S} and λ_0^{H}. It was adopted: $\lambda_0^{\mathrm{S}} \in \langle 0.3, 0.8\rangle$, $\lambda_0^{\mathrm{H}} \in \langle 0.5, 2\rangle$, $\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{S}} = 70$ meV, $\Omega_{\max}^{\mathrm{H}} = 220$ meV [40], [41], and the selected values of $\mu^\star$.



FIG. 4: (A) The free energy difference between the superconducting and normal state, (B) the thermodynamic critical field, and (C) the specific heat of the superconducting state C^S and the normal state C^N as a function of the temperature for the selected values of the Coulomb pseudopotential. The vertical lines indicate the position of the characteristic specific heat jump at T_C.

The obtained results show that for the low values of the Coulomb pseudopotential, the maximum critical temperature can be equal even to ~ 290 K. From the physical point of view, the result shows the possibility of induction of the superconducting state with the critical temperature comparable to the room temperature in the compounds of the H_nS-type.

The thermodynamic critical field and the specific heat of the superconducting state can be calculated in the Eliashberg formalism using the formula for the free energy difference between the superconducting state (S) and the normal state (N):

$$\frac{\Delta F}{\rho(0)} = -\frac{2\pi}{\beta}\sum_{n=1}^{M}\left(\sqrt{\omega_n^2+\Delta_n^2}-|\omega_n|\right) \times \left(Z_n^S - Z_n^N\frac{|\omega_n|}{\sqrt{\omega_n^2+\Delta_n^2}}\right), \quad (12)$$

where $\rho(0)$ denotes the value of the electron density of states on the Fermi level.

The formula for the thermodynamic critical field has the form: $H_C = \sqrt{-8\pi\Delta F}$. On the other hand, the specific heat of the superconducting state can be expressed using the formula: $C^S = \Delta C + C^N$, where $\Delta C =$



FIG. 5: The dimensionless thermodynamic ratios as a function of the Coulomb pseudopotential for $p = 160$ GPa (left panel) and as a function of the pressure for $\mu^\star = 0.15$ (right panel).

$-Td^2\Delta F/dT^2$ and $C^N(T) = \gamma T$. The symbol γ denotes the Sommerfeld constant: $\gamma \equiv (2/3)\pi^2 k_B^2 \rho(0)(1+\lambda)$.

The examples of the results obtained for $p = 160$ GPa are plotted in Fig. 4. It can be seen that below the critical temperature, the difference in the free energy takes the negative values, which from the physical point of view shows the thermodynamic stability of the superconducting phase (Fig. 4 (A)). It should also be noted that the values of ΔF strongly depend on the Coulomb pseudopotential. The strong dependence of the free energy difference on the Coulomb pseudopotential transfers directly to the thermodynamic critical field and the specific heat of the superconducting state (see Fig. 4 (B) and (C)). In particular, it was obtained: $[H_C(0)]_{\mu^\star=0.1} / [H_C(0)]_{\mu^\star=0.3} = 2.16$ and $[\Delta C(T_C)]_{\mu^\star=0.1} / [\Delta C(T_C)]_{\mu^\star=0.3} = 2.21$, while $H_C(0) \equiv H_C(T_0)$.

The designated thermodynamic functions allow to calculate the dimensionless ratios: $R_\Delta \equiv 2\Delta(0)/k_B T_C$, $R_C \equiv \Delta C(T_C)/C^N(T_C)$, and $R_H \equiv T_C C^N(T_C)/H_C^2(0)$, where $\Delta(0) \equiv \Delta(T_0)$. It is worth noting that, in the BCS theory, the quantities: R_Δ, R_C, and R_H adopt the universal values, which are equal respectively to: 3.53, 1.43, and 0.168 [42], [43]. In the case of H_2S ($p = 160$ GPa), the obtained results are presented in Fig. 5. It is easy to note that the values R_Δ - R_H differ significantly from the predictions of the classical BCS theory, with the largest derogations observed in the case of the weak electron depairing correlations.



FIG. 6: The dimensionless thermodynamic ratios as a function of the coupling constants $\lambda_0^{\rm S}$ and $\lambda_0^{\rm H}$ for the H_nS-type superconductors.

Fig. 5 shows the plots of the dimensionless ratios as a function of the pressure ($p \in \langle 130, 180\rangle$ GPa). The numerical results show that the thermodynamic parameters R_Δ - R_H cannot be correctly estimated in the framework of the BCS theory for the wide range of pressure: $R_\Delta \in \langle 3.64, 4.16\rangle$, $R_C \in \langle 1.59, 2.24\rangle$, and $R_H \in \langle 0.144, 0.163\rangle$. From the physical standpoint, the results presented above arise from the existence of the strong-coupling and retardation effects in H_2S. Note that in the simplest case, it can be characterized by the ratio: $k_B T_C/\omega_{\ln}$ [44]. Thus, the results included in Fig. 5 can

be reproduced with the help of the following formulas:

$$\frac{R_{\Delta}}{[R_{\Delta}]_{\mathrm{BCS}}} = 1 + 9\left(\frac{k_B T_C}{\omega_{\ln}}\right)^2 \ln\left(\frac{\omega_{\ln}}{k_B T_C}\right), \qquad (13)$$

$$\frac{R_{C}}{[R_{C}]_{\mathrm{BCS}}} = 1 + 40\left(\frac{k_B T_C}{\omega_{\ln}}\right)^2 \ln\left(\frac{\omega_{\ln}}{2k_B T_C}\right), \qquad (14)$$

and

$$\frac{R_{H}}{[R_{H}]_{\mathrm{BCS}}} = 1 - 10\left(\frac{k_B T_C}{\omega_{\ln}}\right)^2 \ln\left(\frac{\omega_{\ln}}{2k_B T_C}\right). \qquad (15)$$

It should be underlined that the number parameters appearing in Eq. (13) - Eq. (15) were selected on the basis of 300 numerical values of R_{Δ} - R_H depending on the Coulomb pseudopotential. Eq. (13) - Eq. (15) can be used to estimate R_{Δ} - R_H for the whole family of the H_nS-type compounds. The obtained results are plotted in Fig. 6. It was found that the values, which maximally differ from the results of the BCS theory, are respectively: 6.53, 3.99, and 0.093.

In the presented paper, the thermodynamic parameters of the superconducting state inducing in the H_2S compound were determined ($p \in \langle 130, 180\rangle$ GPa). The calculations were carried out in the framework of the Eliashberg formalism. It has been shown that the theoretical analysis is able to reproduce the experimental dependence of the critical temperature on the pressure, assuming the relatively low value of the electron depairing correlations ($\mu^{\star} = 0.15$).

The other thermodynamic functions such as: the order parameter, the thermodynamic critical field or the specific heat of the superconducting state deviate from the expectations of the classical BCS theory (as evidenced by the values of the parameters R_{Δ} - R_H). It turns out that this result is associated with the existence of the significant strong-coupling and retardation effects appearing in the H_2S compound.

Generalizing the obtained results, we showed that the maximum value of the critical temperature in the family of the compounds of the H_nS-type can be equal even to ~ 290 K, which from the physical point of view means the possibility of the existence of the superconducting phase at the room temperature. The values of the parameters R_{Δ} - R_H for the family of H_nS differ also significantly from the expectations of the BCS theory.

Acknowledgments

Y. L. acknowledges funding from the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11204111, 11404148, the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu province under Grant No. BK20130223, and the PAPD of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

[1] A. P. Drozdov, M. I. Eremets, and I. A. Troyan, arXiv:1412.0460 (2014).
[2] J. E. Hirsch and F. Marsiglio, Physica C **511**, 45 (2015).
[3] N. Bernstein, C. S. Hellberg, M. D. Johannes, I. I. Mazin, and M. J. Mehl, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 060511 (2015).
[4] D. A. Papaconstantopoulos, B. M. Klein, M. J. Mehl, and W. E. Pickett, arXiv:1501.03950 (2015).
[5] J. A. Flores-Livas, A. Sanna, and E. K. U. Gross, arXiv:1501.06336 (2015).
[6] R. Akashi, M. Kawamura, S. Tsuneyuki, Y. Nomura, and R. Arita, arXiv:1502.00936 (2015).
[7] S. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Liu, G. Yang, L. Zhang, and Y. Ma, arXiv:1502.02607 (2015).
[8] D. Duan, Y. Liu, F. Tian, D. Li, X. Huang, Z. Zhao, H. Yu, B. Liu, W. Tian, and T. Cui, Sci. Rep. **4**, 6968 (2014).
[9] D. Duan, X. Huang, F. Tian, D. Li, H. Yu, Y. Liu, Y. Ma, B. Liu, and T. Cui, arXiv:1501.01784 (2014).
[10] Y. Li, J. Hao, H. Liu, Y. Li, and Y. Ma, J. Chem. Phys. **140**, 174712 (2014).
[11] T. A. Strobel, P. Ganesh, M. Somayazulu, P. R. C. Kent, and R. J. Hemley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 255503 (2011).
[12] H. Wang, J. S. Tse, K. Tanaka, T. Iitaka, and Y. Ma, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **109**, 6463 (2012).
[13] R. Szczęśniak and A. P. Durajski, Solid State Sciences **25**, 45 (2013).
[14] X. Jin, X. Meng, Z. He, Y. Ma, B. Liu, T. Cui, G. Zou, and H. Mao, PNAS **107**, 9969 (2010).
[15] J. A. Flores-Livas, M. Amsler, T. J. Lenosky, L. Lehtovaara, S. Botti, M. A. L. Marques, and S. Goedecker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 117004 (2012).
[16] R. Szczęśniak and A. P. Durajski, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **74**, 641 (2013).
[17] K. Abe and N. W. Ashcroft, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 104118 (2011).
[18] R. Szczęśniak, E. A. Drzazga, and A. M. Duda, Solid State Commun. **166**, 50 (2013).
[19] Y. Li, G. Gao, Y. Xie, Y. Ma, T. Cui, and G. Zou, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **107**, 15708 (2010).
[20] T. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 104103 (2001).
[21] J. K. Cockcroft and A. N. Fitch, Z. Kristallogr. **193**, 1 (1990).
[22] H. Shimizu, Y. Nakamichi, and S. Sasaki, J. Chem. Phys. **95**, 2036 (1991).
[23] H. Shimizu, H. Murashima, and S. Sasaki, J. Chem. Phys. **97**, 7137 (1992).
[24] S. Endo, N. Ichimiya, K. Koto, S. Sasaki, and H. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 5865 (1994).
[25] S. Endo, A. Honda, S. Sasaki, H. Shimizu, O. Shimomura, and T. Kikegawa, Phys. Rev. B **54**, R717 (1996).
[26] H. Fujihisa, H. Yamawaki, M. Sakashita, K. Aoki, S. Sasaki, and H. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 2651 (1998).
[27] M. Sakashita, H. Yamawaki, H. Fujihisa, K. Aoki, S. Sasaki, and H. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1082

(1997).
[28] H. Fujihisa, H. Yamawaki, M. Sakashita, A. Nakayama, T. Yamada, and K. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 214102 (2004).
[29] H. Shimizu, T. Ushida, S. Sasaki, M. Sakashita, H. Yamawaki, and K. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 5538 (1997).
[30] F. Marsiglio, M. Schossmann, and J. P. Carbotte, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 4965 (1988).
[31] G. M. Eliashberg, Soviet Physics JETP **11**, 696 (1960).
[32] P. Morel and P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **125**, 1962 (1962).
[33] R. Szczęśniak and A. P. Durajski, Superconductor Science and Technology **27**, 015003 (2014).
[34] A. P. Durajski and R. Szczęśniak, Superconductor Science and Technology **27**, 115012 (2014).
[35] R. Szczęśniak and A. P. Durajski, Superconductor Science and Technology **27**, 125004 (2014).
[36] H. Eschrig, *Theory of superconductivity a primer* (Citeseer, 2001).
[37] G. Varelogiannis, Z. Phys. B **104**, 411 (1997).
[38] P. B. Allen and R. C. Dynes, Phys. Rev. B **905**, 1975 (1975).
[39] W. L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. **167**, 331 (1968).
[40] A. P. Durajski, R. Szczęśniak, and M. W. Jarosik, Phase Transitions **85**, 727 (2012).
[41] R. Szczęśniak and M. W. Jarosik, Acta Phys. Pol. A **121**, 841 (2012).
[42] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. **106**, 162 (1957).
[43] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1175 (1957).
[44] J. Carbotte, Rev. Mod. Phys. **62**, 1027 (1990).